

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
6

\* \* \*

7 KHARI S. VARNER, et al.,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9

v.

10 JUDGE GULIANI, et al.,  
11 Defendant.  
12

Case No. 2:23-cv-01869-RFB-NJK

**ORDER**

13  
14 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 41) of the  
15 Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 17, 2024. A district  
16 court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made  
17 by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the  
18 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a).  
19 When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo  
20 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations  
21 to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party  
22 fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or  
23 otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,  
24 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 1, 2024. No objections  
25 have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with all the Magistrate  
26 Judge’s recommendations.  
27  
28

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 41) is  
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED in full**.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

4           The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

5  
6           **DATED:** July 3, 2024.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**